$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	NICHOLAS LANDSIEDEL,	ATTLE
9	,	CASE NO. C16 1421 DAI MAT
10	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C16-1421-RAJ-MAT
11	V.	ORDER LIFTING STAY
12	JEFF MOEN, et al.,	
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	Petitioner proceeds <i>pro se</i> in this habeas	matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner
15 16		matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner his matter given the completion of state court
		-
16	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.)	-
16 17	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.) Respondents agree the state court proceed	nis matter given the completion of state court
16 17 18	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.) Respondents agree the state court proceed	dings are complete, but note that the Washington of finality. (Dkt. 17.) Respondents assert that,
16 17 18 19	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.) Respondents agree the state court proceed Court of Appeals has not yet issued a certificate	dings are complete, but note that the Washington of finality. (Dkt. 17.) Respondents assert that, ate court matter technically remains active, and
16 17 18 19 20	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.) Respondents agree the state court proceed Court of Appeals has not yet issued a certificate in the absence of a certificate of finality, the st	dings are complete, but note that the Washington of finality. (Dkt. 17.) Respondents assert that, atte court matter technically remains active, and ourt files needed for the drafting of a response to
16 17 18 19 20 21	now requests that the Court lift the stay in the proceedings. (Dkt. 16.) Respondents agree the state court proceed Court of Appeals has not yet issued a certificate in the absence of a certificate of finality, the state respondents remain unable to obtain the state court the habeas petition. Respondents request that, in	dings are complete, but note that the Washington of finality. (Dkt. 17.) Respondents assert that, atte court matter technically remains active, and ourt files needed for the drafting of a response to

certificate of finality.

The Court, having reviewed petitioner's motion, the response, and the record as a whole, finds and concludes as follows:

- (1) Petitioner's motion to lift the stay (Dkt. 16) is GRANTED. However, because the certificate of finality has not yet issued in the state court matter, the Court finds an extended deadline to respond to the habeas petition appropriate. In order to allow time for both the issuance of a certificate of finality and the preparation of a response, the Court directs respondents to file and serve an answer in this matter **ninety** (90) days from the date of this Order. Respondents may seek an additional extension of that deadline if necessitated by delay in the issuance of a certificate of finality.
- (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to the parties and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.

DATED this 5th day of October, 2017.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge